S.B. Criminal Misc. Petition No. 1668/2006
Date of order : 19.1.2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR,J.

Mr. BR Mehta, for the petitioner
Mr. Jagjeevan Chand Bhandari, for the non-petitioner

By an application being Cr. Misc. Application No. 744/2006 and the instant criminal misc. petition under Sec. 482 Cr.P.C., learned counsel Mr. Jagjeevan Chand Bhandari submits that he has represented the non-petitioner in S.B. Cr. Misc. Petition No. 329/2004 which came to be decided on 21.8.2006 alongwith S.B. Cr. Misc. Petition No. 879/2004, but his presence has not been marked in the order dated 21.8.2006 though he was present and argued the matter. This fact is not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner Mr. B.R. Mehta.

In the circumstances therefore, it appears that due to oversight / typographical error the name of counsel for the non-petitioner Mr. Jagjeevan Chand Bhandari has not been shown in the order dated 21.8.2006 and, therefore, in order dated 21.8.2006 just after the name of counsel for the petitioner the word "Mr. Jagjeevan Chand Bhandari, for the non-petitioner" is incorporated and it is made clear that the counsel for the non-petitioner Mr. Jagjeevan Chand Bhandari appeared and argued the matter.

The Criminal Misc. Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. as well as the application being Cr. Misc. Application No.744/2006 are, accordingly, disposed of.

(H.R.PANWAR),J.

rm/